## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION www.flsb.uscourts.gov

In re:			
Palm Beach Finance Partners, L.P. and Palm Beach Finance II, L.P.,		Case No. 09-36379-BKC-PGF Chapter 11	
Debtor(s).	/		
Barry E. Mukamal, in his capacity as Liquidating Trustee for the Palm Beach Finance Partners Liquidating Trust and the Palm Beach Finance II Liquidating Trust;		Adv. Case No.	
Plaintiff, v.			
Norma Vennes,			
Defendant.	/		

### **COMPLAINT TO RECOVER TRANSFERS**

Barry E. Mukamal ("*Plaintiff*"), in his capacity as Liquidating Trustee for the Palm Beach Finance Liquidating Trust and the Palm Beach Finance II Liquidating Trust (collectively, the "*Liquidating Trusts*"), sues Norma Vennes ("*Defendant*") and alleges as follows:

## PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Palm Beach Finance Partners, L.P. ("PBF I") and Palm Beach Finance II, L.P. ("PBF II") (PBF I and PBF II are referred to each as a "Palm Beach Fund" or collectively, the "Palm Beach Funds") were Delaware limited partnerships whose principal place of business was located in Palm Beach County, Florida.

- 2. The Palm Beach Funds were formed to make the investments described in *Section*I.A below. PBF I was formed in 2002 while PBF II was formed in 2004.
- 3. The general partner for the Palm Beach Funds was Palm Beach Finance Capital Management, L.P. ("PBLP"). The investment manager for the Palm Beach Funds was Palm Beach Capital Management, LLC ("PBLLC"; and together with PBLP, the "Palm Beach Managing Entities").
- 4. On November 30, 2009 ("*Petition Date*"), the Palm Beach Funds filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Orders for relief were entered and Mr. Mukamal was subsequently appointed Chapter 11 trustee for the Palm Beach Funds.
- 5. Thereafter, pursuant to a confirmed joint plan of liquidation, Mr. Mukamal was appointed Liquidating Trustee for the Liquidating Trusts.
- 6. Pursuant to the confirmed joint plan of liquidation, all claims and causes of action held by the Palm Beach Funds are reserved, preserved and retained by the Liquidating Trusts.
- 7. Defendant is an individual who, upon information and belief, resides in North Dakota and/or Arizona.
- 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b) and may enter any order or final judgment.
  - 9. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (H) and (O).

### **ALLEGATIONS**

### I. The Petters Investment

## A. General Description of the Investment

10. Beginning in approximately 1995, Thomas Petters ("*Petters*") began raising money by offering and selling promissory notes to members of the public.

Case 11-02968-PGH Doc 1 Filed 11/25/11 Page 3 of 11

11. Petters offered and sold the notes to various feeder fund lenders, which in turn,

raised their capital from private investors.

12. In offering and selling the notes, Petters represented to lenders that the proceeds

from the sale of the notes would be used to finance so-called "purchase order financing."

13. Under Petters's version of purchase order financing, he arranged for the sale and

delivery of overstock consumer electronics from manufacturers or suppliers to certain "big box"

retailers such as Costco, Sam's Club and B.J.'s Wholesale Club. The financing provided by the

lenders was necessary to bridge the period between when the suppliers demanded payment and

when the retailers paid for the merchandise.

14. The main Petters entity that arranged these purchase and financing transactions

was Petters Company, Inc. ("PCI"). Single purpose entities ("SPE") affiliated with PCI were

formed by Petters and his associates to handle loans for particular lenders that financed the

transactions.

15. The main suppliers that were allegedly selling the merchandise that formed the

basis of the purchase order financing transactions were Nationwide International Resources, Inc.

("Nationwide") and Enchanted Family Buying Company ("Enchanted").

16. To evidence the purchase financing transactions, Petters or persons working on

his behalf, typically provided a series of documents to the lenders including executed note

documents, purported purchase orders from a retailer, purported bills of sale from the vendors,

collateral and credit insurance and documents assigning a security interest in the underlying

merchandise to the financing lender (collectively, the "Loan Documents").

Case 11-02968-PGH Doc 1 Filed 11/25/11 Page 4 of 11

B. The Palm Beach Funds's Investment in Petters

17. The Palm Beach Funds were formed to invest in Petters purchase financing

transactions.

18. In particular, in 2002, the principals of the Palm Beach Managing Entities – Bruce

Prevost and David Harrold – were introduced to Frank Vennes ("Vennes"). At that time, Vennes

and his entity, Metro Gem, Inc. ("MGI"; and together with Vennes, the "Vennes Parties"), a

Minnesota corporation, had invested in Petters purchase financing transactions for several years.

19. Based on misrepresentations made by the Vennes Parties, the Palm Beach Funds

raised monies from selling limited partnership stakes to investors and in the case of PBF II, by

borrowing hundreds of millions of dollars from an offshore lender, Palm Beach Offshore Ltd.

20. Nearly all of the monies raised by the Palm Beach Funds were then used to invest

in Petters purchase financing transactions.

II. The Petters Fraud

21. The Petters purchase financing transactions were in actuality an elaborate ponzi

scheme.

22. Namely, there was never any (i) merchandise or (ii) contracts to purchase or sell

such merchandise with a particular big box retailer. Instead, Petters, conspiring with others,

operated a multi-billion dollar ponzi scheme. In likely every instance that monies were sent to

Nationwide or Enchanted by the Palm Beach Funds and other lenders to finance the purchase of

merchandise, Nationwide and Enchanted deducted a small commission for their benefit and then

remitted the remaining funds to PCI. Thereafter, such funds were used to repay earlier investors

and fund the lavish lifestyle of Petters and that of his associates.

Case 11-02968-PGH Doc 1 Filed 11/25/11 Page 5 of 11

23. The direct effect of Petters's fraudulent activities was that the Palm Beach

Funds's investments in Petters purchase financing transactions were worthless.

24. In September of 2008, agents for the Federal Bureau of Investigation raided PCI's

offices. Thereafter, Petters was arrested by federal agents on October 3, 2008 and then indicted

on charges of mail and wire fraud, conspiracy to commit mail and wire fraud, conspiracy to

commit money laundering and money laundering, all in connection with the PCI purchase

financing transactions.

25. Immediately after the FBI raid, the Vennes Parties, along with PCI, Petters and

others, were placed into a federal receivership ("Receivership").

26. On December 2, 2009, a jury in the United States District Court for the District of

Minnesota found Petters guilty of all counts charged.

27. On April 8, 2010, District Court Judge Richard H. Kyle sentenced Petters to 50

years in prison for his crimes.

28. On September 29, 2010, PCI and Petters Group Worldwide, LLC pled guilty to

wire fraud, conspiracy to commit wire fraud, and conspiracy to commit money laundering

relating to their roles in the *ponzi* scheme.

29. On April 20, 2011, Vennes was indicted for alleged criminal acts committed by

him in connection with the Petters ponzi scheme.

III. The Vennes Parties's Asset Distribution Plan

30. While the Receivership was pending, the Vennes Parties, along with the court

appointed receiver, sought court approval of a plan to distribute the assets of the Vennes Parties

and their affiliates [ECF No. 1652 in Case No. 08-05348-ADM] ("Asset Distribution Plan").

Case 11-02968-PGH Doc 1 Filed 11/25/11 Page 6 of 11

31. Pursuant to the Asset Distribution Plan, investors that were "net losers" in MGI

were allotted a distribution of the remaining assets of the Vennes Parties. Conversely, investors

in MGI that were "net winners" were entitled to no distribution of the Vennes Parties's assets.

32. As set forth in the exhibits to the Asset Distribution Plan, Defendant was not

listed as a "net loser" in MGI.

IV. The Vennes Parties Action and Transfers to the Defendant

33. In conjunction with the filing of this adversary, Plaintiff, on behalf of the Palm

Beach Funds, will file an action in the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Florida

against the Vennes Parties ("Vennes Action").

34. The Vennes Action seeks to avoid all transfers made by the Palm Beach Funds to

the Vennes Parties within four years of the Petition Date. Additionally, the Vennes Action seeks

an award of monetary damages in tort relating to the significant misrepresentations, omissions

and breach of fiduciary duties by the Vennes Parties to the Palm Beach Funds with respect to

their investing in Petters purchase financing transactions.

35. As such, at all times relevant to the allegations set forth in this Complaint, the

Palm Beach Funds were creditors of the Vennes Parties.

36. As set forth on Schedule 1, during the time period that the Vennes Parties were

committing these tortious acts and receiving transfers from the Palm Beach Funds, MGI made

transfers of its property to the Defendant ("Transfers"). The Palm Beach Funds reserve the right

to amend this schedule based on additional information obtained during discovery in this

adversary proceeding.

6

LAW OFFICES OF MELAND RUSSIN & BUDWICK, P.A.
3000 SOUTHEAST FINANCIAL CENTER, 200 SOUTH BISCAYNE BOULEVARD, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131 • TELEPHONE (305) 358-6363
{Firm Clients/4189/4189-1/00860217.DOC.}

Case 11-02968-PGH Doc 1 Filed 11/25/11 Page 7 of 11

37. Upon information and belief, Defendant received the Transfers in conjunction

with an investment made by the Defendant in MGI to fund Petters purchase financing

transactions.

38. Defendant was not listed as a "net loser" of MGI as part of the Asset Distribution

Plan. As such, and as set forth on Schedule 1, a portion of the Transfers made to Defendant by

MGI represent fictitious profits paid to the Defendant ("Fraudulent Transfers").

Count 1 – Fraudulent Transfer Pursuant to M.S.A. §§ 513.44 and 513.48 or other applicable law

39. Plaintiff reasserts the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 as if fully

set forth herein.

40. As set forth in the Vennes Action, and pursuant to M.S.A. § 513.41, the Palm

Beach Funds are creditors of the Vennes Parties.

41. MGI made the Fraudulent Transfers to or for the benefit of the Defendant within

six years of the Petition Date.

42. MGI did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Fraudulent

Transfers made to or for the benefit of the Defendant.

43. MGI was insolvent at the time of the Fraudulent Transfers.

44. The net assets of MGI were unreasonably small in relation to the Fraudulent

Transfers.

45. At the time the Fraudulent Transfers were made to or for the benefit of the

Defendant, MGI was insolvent and would not be able to satisfy its liabilities as they came due.

46. At the time the Fraudulent Transfers were made to or for the benefit of the

Defendant, MGI was engaged in, or was about to engage in, a business or a transaction for which

the remaining assets were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction.

47. At the time of the Fraudulent Transfers, MGI intended to incur or believed that it would incur, debts that were beyond its ability to pay as such debts matured.

# Count 2 – Fraudulent Transfer Pursuant to M.S.A. §§ 513.45 and 513.48 or other applicable law

- 48. Plaintiff reasserts the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. As set forth in the Vennes Action, and pursuant to M.S.A. § 513.41, the Palm Beach Funds are creditors of MGI.
- 50. MGI made the Fraudulent Transfers to or for the benefit of the Defendant within six years of the Petition Date.
- 51. MGI did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Fraudulent Transfers to or for the benefit of the Defendant.
  - 52. MGI was insolvent at the time of the Transfers.

## Count 3 – Unjust Enrichment

- 53. Plaintiff reasserts the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. The Defendant received a benefit by virtue of the Fraudulent Transfers made to the Defendant.
  - 55. The Defendant has knowledge of such benefit.
  - 56. The Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained the benefit conferred by MGI.
- 57. The Defendant's receipt of the benefit of the Fraudulent Transfers unjustly enriched the Defendant to the detriment of the Palm Beach Funds.
- 58. Under the circumstances set forth herein, it would be inequitable for the Defendant to retain such benefit.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- (a) With respect to Counts 1 and 2, enter judgment against the Defendant in the total amount of the Fraudulent Transfers received by the Defendant or made for the Defendant's benefit, along with all other transfers made to the Defendant or for the Defendant's benefit which are avoidable under Counts 1 and 2 that are later discovered, and all other relief provided for under § 513.48 (or other applicable law);
- (b) With respect to Count 3, enter judgment against the Defendant in the total amount of the Fraudulent Transfers;
- (c) With respect to all Counts, award Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the extent allowed under applicable law or statute;
- (d) With respect to all Counts, award prejudgment interest to the extent allowed under applicable law or statute; and
- (e) Grant such further relief this Court deems just and proper.

s/ Michael S. Budwick Michael S. Budwick, Esquire Florida Bar No. 938777 mbudwick@melandrussin.com Jessica L. Wasserstrom, Esquire Florida Bar No. 985820 jwasserstrom@melandrussin.com Jonathan S. Feldman, Esquire Florida Bar No. 12682 ifeldman@melandrussin.com MELAND RUSSIN & BUDWICK, P.A. 3000 Southeast Financial Center 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 358-6363 Telecopy: (305) 358-1221

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# Schedule 1

Transaction	Check No		Payee	Deposit Amount	Disbursement
Date				(Contribution)	Amount
					(Withdrawal)
02/11/04	21000	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
03/11/04	21121	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
04/13/04	21293	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
05/12/04	21423	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
06/14/04	21495	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
07/21/04	21592	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
08/13/04	21678	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
09/15/04	21784	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
10/15/04	21870	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
11/22/04	21963	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
12/16/04	22055	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
01/21/05	22167	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
02/25/05	22262	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
03/24/05	22362	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
04/21/05	22472	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
05/20/05	22576	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
06/22/05	22681	Norma Vennes			7,500.00
7/5/2005		Norma Vennes		50,000.00	
07/25/05	22788	Norma Vennes			7,958.00
08/23/05	22900	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
09/26/05	22990	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
11/09/05	23097	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
1/5/2006	23289	Norma Vennes			9,250.00
2/1/2006	23417	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
3/2/2006	23514	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
3/29/2006	23630	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
5/4/2006	26426	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
5/31/2006	26531	Norma Vennes			8,750.00
7/5/2006	26647	Norma Vennes			875.00
7/12/2006	26635	Norma Vennes			5,250.00
8/30/2006	26840	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
9/28/2006	26944	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
10/31/2006	27044	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
11/29/2006	27127	Norma Vennes			15,438.00
1/5/2007	27230	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
2/8/2007	27328	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
03/06/07	27412	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
4/3/2007	27507	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
05/07/07	27608	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
6/8/2007	27710	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
7/3/2007	27823	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
8/6/2007	27921	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
9/6/2007	28031	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
10/4/2007	28122	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
11/9/2007	28238	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
12/11/2007	28338	Norma Vennes			7,000.00
1/9/2008	28456	Norma Vennes			7,000.00

# Schedule 1

Transaction Date	Check No	Payee	Deposit Amount (Contribution)	Disbursement Amount (Withdrawal)
2/11/2008	28545	Norma Vennes		7,000.00
3/12/2008	28658	Norma Vennes		3,967.00
4/14/2008	28753	Norma Vennes		3,500.00
5/13/2008	28854	Norma Vennes		3,500.00
6/11/2008	28939	Norma Vennes		3,500.00
7/9/2008	29021	Norma Vennes		3,500.00
8/12/2008	29107	Norma Vennes		3,500.00
9/10/2008	29178	Norma Vennes		3,500.00
		Norma Vennes Total	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 380,238.00